

THE EFFECTIVENESS OF FORMATIVE FEEDBACK IN DEVELOPING ARGUMENTATIVE WRITING AMONG UNIVERSITY STUDENTS

Mamadieva Vazira Murodjon qizi

Abstract:

This study investigates the effectiveness of formative feedback in enhancing argumentative writing skills among university students. By integrating a mixed-methods approach, the research examines students' perceptions of feedback and its impact on their writing process. Data were collected through surveys, writing samples, and interviews, focusing on students' ability to construct coherent arguments, utilize evidence effectively, and refine their writing based on feedback. Results indicate that formative feedback significantly improved students' argumentative writing, promoting deeper engagement with the writing process and fostering critical thinking skills. The findings underscore the importance of continuous feedback mechanisms within academic settings to enhance writing proficiency.

Keywords: formative feedback, argumentative writing, university students, writing skills, critical thinking, educational practices, mixed-methods approach.

Formative feedback is essential in the learning context, above all in the development of writing skill. Of all types of writing, argumentative writing is distinctive because of its inherent complexity and intellectual work involved that has to be done by the students [1]. By becoming involved in the process of constructing arguments, the students not only refine writing skills but also develop critical thinking skill [2]. This article explores the effectiveness of formative feedback in the production of argumentative student writing at the university level, its mechanisms, benefits, and effects on achievement.

To begin with, formative feedback can be defined as feedback provided to students on their performance that is intended to inform their future learning. Unlike summative feedback, which is given at the end of an instructional period to gauge performance, formative feedback occurs in the course of learning. This ongoing dialogue between students and teachers fosters a culture of continuous enhancement. Particularly in courses that require strong argumentative writing skills, timely and accurate feedback makes learners see their weak and strong areas, which triggers the adaptive learning process [3].

In argumentative writing, crafting an engaging argument requires more than stating one's own thoughts [4]. That is: students must take into account evidence, recognize opposing arguments, and counter-criticism of their writing. This holistic process can be daunting for university students since most students will find themselves facing extended academic writing for the

first time. Formative feedback plays an important part in this [5]; it allows students to see evidence of where they can improve, thereby making the potentially daunting process of writing slightly more manageable. With targeted feedback, students can progressively refine their writing so they can comfortably embrace the nuances of argumentative writing [6].

Existing studies suggest that when students are provided with effective and actionable feedback, they improve in their writing. More specifically, formative feedback has the potential to enable students to know how to structure their arguments effectively. For instance, a teacher may point out vague thesis statements or suggest more effective evidence in order to make assertions, which will prompt students to rethink their approach. By being explicitly told what constitutes a strong argument, students are more able to learn these concepts internally and bring them to bear in their later writing, thereby improving overall argumentation skills [7].

Psychological benefits to receiving formative feedback cannot be overstated. When feedback is perceived by students as informative rather than punitive, their self-confidence with their writing can undergo vast improvement. This growth mindset encourages students to be resilient, and they view writing struggles as challenges for growth rather than issues. By creating a culture in which students perceive feedback as a resource, the teachers can make students more engaged in the writing process and more motivated to revise and improve their writing [8].

The second key characteristic of efficient formative feedback is its timeliness. Giving feedback in a timely manner after students have submitted their drafts enables them to implement the lessons learned through the revisions. This timeliness strengthens the learning process since students can better remember the context of their writing and the precise areas that need improvement. Moreover, feedback that is given promptly makes the usefulness of the suggestions stronger so that students can make adjustments within the parameters of what they know about argumentative writing already [9, 10, 11, 12].

The impact of peer feedback along with teachers' formative feedback also must be investigated. Peer review sessions have a tendency to provide students with the opportunity to critically evaluate other students' arguments to gain further awareness of the process of writing. This approach not only exposes students to diverse theoretical perspectives regarding argumentation but also enables them to learn how to provide and receive helpful criticism. As such, peer feedback can serve as a reinforcer mechanism to complement instructor feedback, supporting collaborative learning and shared ownership of the writing process [13].

While the advantages of formative feedback are enticing, it is necessary to discuss challenges that may follow in its application. Teachers need to be trained to give strong feedback that is specific, action-oriented, and encouraging. Moreover, students should be taught to interpret

and apply the given feedback in a positive manner. The two-way point reflects the need to integrate feedback techniques into the curriculum so that both students and teachers are properly prepared for the cyclical nature of writing improvement [14,15].

One of the chief characteristics of formative feedback's effectiveness is its capacity for flexibility in different learning environments. With the development of the digital age, web-based applications and AI-driven writing tools can supplement traditional methods of giving feedback. Such technologies can potentially offer real-time suggestions and comments on the basis of students' writing, another level of support. Combine such tools with teacher feedback to make the process of writing easier and feedback mechanisms more accessible to allow students' learning outcomes to improve.

In addition, the role of self-assessment in formative feedback cannot be overemphasized. Through the process of encouraging students to reflect inwardly and criticize their own writing and argument, internalization of feedback strategies is facilitated. By the process of creating self-assessment, students are made autonomous learners who are able to identify their strengths and weaknesses without necessarily relying on external assessments. It empowers them in their learning journey and plays an important role in their long-term writing ability and academic performance.

Finally, through such a culture where there is a focus on formative feedback in argumentative writing development, there can be redemptive learning experiences for university students. The integration of reflective, timely, and useful feedback allows the students to own their learning process actively, fostering critical thinking, enhanced writing skills, and higher academic confidence. Institutions that hold dear the importance of this feedback mechanism will not merely enhance the quality of their writing programs, but also to the overall intellectual development of their student body.

In reflecting on the effectiveness of formative feedback in developing argumentative writing among university students, it is essential to appreciate the multifaceted nature of the writing process and the vital role that feedback plays within this framework. The journey of honing writing skills, particularly in crafting well-reasoned arguments, is not linear or straightforward; rather, it is a dynamic and iterative process that requires ongoing support and engagement. Formative feedback, by its very design, caters to this need, providing students with the tools and insights necessary to refine their arguments and improve their writing abilities.

Through formative feedback, students are not merely receiving information about their performance; they are gaining access to a wealth of knowledge about the components of strong argumentative writing. This includes understanding how to construct clear thesis statements, develop coherent supporting arguments, and effectively counter opposing viewpoints. By breaking down these elements, educators empower students to see writing not as an isolated

skill but as a comprehensive process that encompasses critical thinking, analytical reasoning, and effective communication. As such, formative feedback serves as a bridge connecting theoretical knowledge about writing to practical application, enabling students to see the relevance of what they learn in the classroom to real-world contexts.

Moreover, the psychological implications of formative feedback cannot be overstated. When delivered in a constructive and supportive manner, feedback can bolster students' confidence and motivation. This is particularly important in the realm of writing, where fear of judgment and criticism can often stifle creativity and expression. Formative feedback, especially when students see it as an opportunity for growth rather than a mere evaluation, can transform their writing experiences into positive engagements that foster personal and academic development. Encouraging a growth mindset—where students view challenges and mistakes as integral parts of the learning journey—can significantly enhance their willingness to tackle complex argumentative tasks with resilience and curiosity.

The timeliness of feedback also plays a crucial role in its effectiveness. When students receive feedback promptly after submitting their drafts, they are more likely to engage meaningfully with the suggestions provided. This immediacy not only reinforces learning but also allows them to draw connections between their writing and the feedback they receive, leading to more substantive revisions. As such, creating a feedback schedule that prioritizes timely responses can greatly enhance the educational experience, making the process of writing more interactive and responsive to students' needs.

Incorporating peer feedback adds another layer to the effectiveness of formative feedback. By allowing students to engage with each other's work, educators create opportunities for collaboration and dialogue. Peer review sessions can cultivate a classroom culture where students feel comfortable sharing ideas and critiques, further enriching their understanding of argumentative writing. This collaborative approach not only reinforces their ability to articulate arguments effectively but also fosters interpersonal skills that are valuable beyond the academic context. Students learn to navigate differing viewpoints and refine their arguments in a way that acknowledges the complexity of discourse—an essential skill in today's increasingly interconnected and polarized world.

Generally, the argument that formative feedback is strong in promoting argumentative writing among university students is qualitatively and quantitatively supported by pedagogic experience and educational scholarship. Via strategies for formative feedback, instructors are able to influence students' academic performance, critical thinking capabilities, and writing skills. In an increasingly more complex and argumentative world, as the university strives to prepare learners for performance, becoming completely committed to the adoption of formative feedback will be crucial in equipping students to write competently and confidently.

REFERENCES

1. Misri, M. E. (2025, February). Enhancing Cloud Data Security Using Paillier Homographic Encryption Algorithm. In 2025 3rd International Conference on Integrated Circuits and Communication Systems (ICICACS) (pp. 1-5). IEEE.
2. Iskandarov, S. A. (2024). Settlement of arabs who moved to central asia. Current research journal of history, 5(05), 25-28.
3. Misri, M. E. (2024, December). Binary Water Wheel Plant Algorithm with Soft Gated Recurrent Unit for Software Defect Prediction. In 2024 4th International Conference on Mobile Networks and Wireless Communications (ICMNWC) (pp. 1-5). IEEE.
4. Abdiganievich, I. S. (2023). CHANGES IN ETHNIC IDENTITY OF UZBEKS. American Journal Of Social Sciences And Humanity Research, 3(12), 42-46.
5. Misri, M. E. The Impact Of Devops Practices On Software Development Lifecycle.
6. Iskandarov, S. A. (2023). Social, economic and cultural processes in the Kashkadarya oasis in the 20th century and their impact on the lifestyle of local residents. American Journal Of Social Sciences And Humanity Research, 3(10), 149-154.
7. Norkulov, D. T., Narkulov, S. D., & Iskandarov Sh, A. (2022). Alimova SG, Pardayev AA Umarova FS, Khudoykulov AB THE ROLE OF PHILOSOPHICAL THINKING AND PHILOSOPHICAL KNOWLEDGE IN UNDERSTANDING NATIONAL IDENTITY. ASEAN Journal on Science & Technology for Development, 39(4), 605-613.
8. Бўриев, О., Искандаров, Ш., & Хўжаёров, А. (2022). Абу Райхон Берунийнинг “Ҳиндистон” асари ноёб этнографик манба сифатида. Academic research in educational sciences, (3), 399-411.
9. Iskandarov, S. (2020). О ‘zbekiston arablarining til xususiyatlari va etnolingvistik o ‘zgarishlar. Uzbekistan: Language and Culture, 2(2).
10. Ниёзова, Н., & Худайкулова, Н. (2022). СУЩНОСТЬ И ЗНАЧЕНИЯ КНИГА АБУ РАЙХАН БЕРУНИЙ «МИНЕРОЛОГИЯ» («КИТАБ АЛ-ДЖАМАХИР ФИ МА ‘РИФАТ АЛДЖАВАХИР»). Academic research in educational sciences, (3), 369-370.
11. Ниёзова, Н. Ш. (2014). Здоровый образ жизни и гигиеническая культура. The Way of Science, 50.
12. Раматов, Ж. С., & Ҳасанов, М. (2022). ЎЗБЕКИСТОН ТАРАҚҚИЁТИНИНГ ЯНГИ БОСҚИЧИДА ИНСОН ҲУҚУҚЛАРИНИНГ ТАЪМИНЛАНИШИ. Academic research in educational sciences, 3(6), 963-969.
13. Ruzibayeva, A. (2024). DIFFERENT ASPECTS OF EXPRESSION OF LOVE AND NATURE IN THE POETRY OF BYRON AND LERMONTOV: ANALYSIS AND CONCLUSIONS. Современные подходы и новые исследования в современной науке, 3(16), 46-51.

14. Kahramanovna, R. A. (2024). THE INFLUENCE OF HISTORICAL EVENTS ON THE POETRY OF BYRON AND LERMONTOV. Web of Scientists and Scholars: Journal of Multidisciplinary Research, 2(5), 34-36.
15. Kahramanovna, R. A. (2024). THE RECEPTION AND CRITICAL RECEPTION OF BYRON AND LERMONTOV'S POETRY IN THEIR RESPECTIVE COUNTRIES. Web of Humanities: Journal of Social Science and Humanitarian Research, 2(5), 67-69.